

# Migration Regulations 1994

## Schedule 4 Public Interest Criterion [ PIC ] 4001

### 4001

Either:

- (a) the person satisfies the Minister that **the person passes the character test**; or
- (b) the Minister is satisfied, after appropriate inquiries, that there is nothing to indicate that the person would fail to satisfy the Minister that the person passes the character test; or
- (c) the Minister has decided not to refuse to grant a visa to the person despite reasonably suspecting that the person does not pass the character test; or
- (d) the Minister has decided not to refuse to grant a visa to the person despite not being satisfied that the person passes the character test.

# Migration Act 1958

## Section 501 Refusal or cancellation of visa on character grounds

*Decision of Minister or delegate — natural justice applies*

(1) The Minister may **refuse to grant a visa to a person if** the person **does not satisfy the Minister that the person passes the character test.**

Note: **Character test** is defined by subsection (6).

- (2) The Minister may **cancel a visa** that has been granted to a person **if:**
  - (a) the Minister **reasonably suspects that the person does not pass the character test**; and
  - (b) the person does not satisfy the Minister that the person passes the character test.

*Decision of Minister — natural justice does **not** apply*

**(3)** The Minister may:

- (a) **refuse to grant a visa** to a person; or
- (b) **cancel a visa** that has been granted to a person;

if:

- (c) the Minister reasonably suspects that the person does not pass the character test; and
- (d) the Minister is satisfied that the refusal or cancellation is in the national interest.

**(3A)** The Minister must cancel a visa that has been granted to a person if:

(a) the Minister is satisfied that the person does not pass the character test because of the operation of:

- (i) paragraph (6)(a) (substantial criminal record), on the basis of paragraph (7)(a), (b) or (c); or
- (ii) paragraph (6)(e) (sexually based offences involving a child);  
and

(b) the person is serving a sentence of imprisonment, on a full-time basis in a custodial institution, for an offence against a law of the Commonwealth, a State or a Territory.

**(3B)** Subsection (3A) does not limit subsections (2) and (3).

(4) The power under subsection (3) may only be exercised by the Minister personally.

(4A) If the Minister makes a decision under subsection (3) in relation to a person, the Minister must cause notice of the making of the decision to be laid before each House of the Parliament within 15 sitting days of that House after the day the decision was made.

(4B) Subsection (4A) does not apply if:

(a) the decision was made on the basis that the Minister reasonably suspects the person does not pass the character test because of the operation of paragraph (6)(a), (e) or (g); or

(b) the person was the subject of an adverse security assessment, or a qualified security assessment, under the ASIO Act when the decision was made.

(5) The rules of natural justice, and the code of procedure set out in Subdivision AB of Division 3 of Part 2, do not apply to a decision under subsection (3) or (3A).

### **Character test**

(6) For the purposes of this section, a person **does not pass** the *character test* if:

(a) the person has a **substantial criminal record** (as defined by subsection (7)); or

(aa) the person has been convicted of an offence that was committed:

(i) while the person was in immigration detention; or

(ii) during an escape by the person from immigration detention; or

(iii) after the person escaped from immigration detention but before the person was taken into immigration detention again; or

(ab) the person has been convicted of an offence against section 197A; or

(b) the Minister reasonably suspects:

(i) that **the person has been or is a member of a group** or organisation, or has had or has an association with a group, organisation or person; and

(ii) that the group, organisation or person has been or **is involved in criminal conduct**; or

(ba) the Minister reasonably suspects that the person has been or is involved in conduct constituting one or more of the following:

- (i) an offence under one or more of sections 233A to 234A (people smuggling);
- (ii) an offence of trafficking in persons;
- (iii) the crime of genocide, a crime against humanity, a war crime, a crime involving torture or slavery or a crime that is otherwise of serious international concern;

whether or not the person, or another person, has been convicted of an offence constituted by the conduct; or

(c) having regard to either or both of the following:

- (i) the person's past and present criminal conduct;
- (ii) the person's past and present general conduct;

the person is not of good character; or

(d) in the event the person were allowed to enter or to remain in Australia, there is a risk that the person would:

- (i) engage in criminal conduct in Australia; or
- (ii) harass, molest, intimidate or stalk another person in Australia; or
- (iii) vilify a segment of the Australian community; or
- (iv) incite discord in the Australian community or in a segment of that community; or
- (v) represent a danger to the Australian community or to a segment of that community, whether by way of being liable to become involved in activities that are disruptive to, or in violence threatening harm to, that community or segment, or in any other way; or

- (e) a court in Australia or a foreign country has:
- (i) convicted the person of one or more sexually based offences involving a child; or
  - (ii) found the person guilty of such an offence, or found a charge against the person proved for such an offence, even if the person was discharged without a conviction; or
- (f) the person has, in Australia or a foreign country, been charged with or indicted for one or more of the following:
- (i) the crime of genocide;
  - (ii) a crime against humanity;
  - (iii) a war crime;
  - (iv) a crime involving torture or slavery;
  - (v) a crime that is otherwise of serious international concern; or
- (g) the person has been assessed by the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation to be directly or indirectly a risk to security (within the meaning of section 4 of the *Australian Security Intelligence Organisation Act 1979*); or
- (h) an Interpol notice in relation to the person, from which it is reasonable to infer that the person would present a risk to the Australian community or a segment of that community, is in force.

Otherwise, the person passes the ***character test***.

### ***Substantial criminal record***

(7) For the purposes of the character test, a person has a ***substantial criminal record*** if:

- (a) the person has been sentenced to death; or
- (b) the person has been sentenced to imprisonment for life; or
- (c) **the person has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 12 months or more;** or
- (d) the person has been sentenced to 2 or more terms of imprisonment, where the **total of those terms is 12 months** or more; or
- (e) the person has been acquitted of an offence on **the grounds of unsoundness of mind or insanity**, and as a result the person has been detained in a facility or institution; or
- (f) the person has:
  - (i) been found by a court to not be fit to plead, in relation to an offence; and
  - (ii) the court has nonetheless found that on the evidence available the person committed the offence; and
  - (iii) as a result, the person has been detained in a facility or institution.

### *Concurrent sentences*

**(7A)** For the purposes of the character test, if a person has been sentenced to 2 or more terms of imprisonment to be served concurrently (whether in whole or in part), the whole of each term is to be counted in working out the total of the terms.

Example: A person is sentenced to 2 terms of 3 months imprisonment for 2 offences, to be served concurrently. For the purposes of the character test, the total of those terms is 6 months.

### *Periodic detention*

**(8)** For the purposes of the character test, if a person has been sentenced to periodic detention, the person's term of imprisonment is taken to be equal to the number of days the person is required under that sentence to spend in detention.

*Residential schemes or programs*

(9) For the purposes of the character test, if a person has been convicted of an offence and the court orders the person to participate in:

- (a) a residential drug rehabilitation scheme; or
- (b) a residential program for the mentally ill;

the person is taken to have been sentenced to a term of imprisonment equal to the number of days the person is required to participate in the scheme or program.

*Pardons etc.*

(10) For the purposes of the character test, a sentence imposed on a person, or the conviction of a person for an offence, is **to be disregarded if:**

- (a) the conviction concerned has been **quashed or otherwise nullified;** or
- (b) both:
  - (i) the person has been pardoned in relation to the conviction concerned; **and**
  - (ii) the effect of that pardon is that **the person is taken never to have been convicted** of the offence.

*Conduct amounting to harassment or molestation*

(11) For the purposes of the character test, conduct may amount to harassment or molestation of a person even though:

- (a) it does not involve violence, or threatened violence, to the person; or
- (b) it consists only of damage, or threatened damage, to property belonging to, in the possession of, or used by, the person.

*Definitions*

**(12)** In this section:

***court*** includes a court martial or similar military tribunal.

***imprisonment*** includes any form of punitive detention in a facility or institution.

***sentence*** includes any form of determination of the punishment for an offence.

Note 1: Visa is defined by section 5 and includes, but is not limited to, a protection visa.

Note 2: For notification of decisions under subsection (1) or (2), see section 501G.

Note 3: For notification of decisions under subsection (3), see section 501C.



# Migration Act 1958

## Section 501CA

### Cancellation of visa — **revocation of decision** under subsection 501(3A) (person serving sentence of imprisonment)

(1) This section applies if the Minister makes a decision (the *original decision*) under subsection 501(3A) (person serving sentence of imprisonment) to cancel a visa that has been granted to a person.

(2) For the purposes of this section, *relevant information* is information (other than non-disclosable information) that the Minister considers:

(a) would be the reason, or a part of the reason, for making the original decision; and

(b) is specifically about the person or another person and is not just about a class of persons of which the person or other person is a member.

(3) As soon as practicable after making the original decision, the Minister must:

(a) give the person, in the way that the Minister considers appropriate in the circumstances:

(i) a written notice that sets out the original decision; and

(ii) particulars of the relevant information; and

(b) invite the person to make representations to the Minister, within the period and in the manner ascertained in accordance with the regulations, about revocation of the original decision.

(4) The Minister **may revoke the original decision** if:

(a) the person makes representations in accordance with the invitation; and

(b) the Minister is satisfied:

(i) that the person passes the character test (as defined by section 501); or

(ii) that there is another reason why the original decision should be revoked.

(5) **If the Minister revokes the original decision, the original decision is taken not to have been made.**

(6) Any detention of the person that occurred during any part of the period:

(a) beginning when the original decision was made; and

(b) ending at the time of the revocation of the original decision;

is lawful and the person is not entitled to make any claim against the Commonwealth, an officer or any other person because of the detention.

(7) A decision not to exercise the power conferred by subsection (4) is not reviewable under Part 5 or 7.

Note: For notification of decisions under subsection (4) to not revoke, see section 501G.

**Direction no 90** – Visa refusal and cancellation under section 501 and revocation of a mandatory cancellation of a visa under section 501CA

KEY DATES AND REFERENCES

<b>Commenced</b>	<b>15/04/2021</b>
Signed	08/03/2021
Status	<b>Current</b>
Revoked	Direction no. 79

**DIRECTION NO. 90**

MIGRATION ACT 1958

DIRECTION UNDER SECTION 499

**Visa refusal and cancellation under section 501**  
and  
revocation of a mandatory cancellation of a visa under section 501CA

I, Alex Hawke, Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs, give this Direction under section 499 of the *Migration Act 1958* (the Act).

Dated 8 March 2021

Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs

# **Part 1                      Preliminary**

## **1. Name of Direction**

This Direction is 'Direction no. 90 — Visa refusal and cancellation under section 501 and revocation of a mandatory cancellation of a visa under section 501CA'.

It may be cited as Direction no. 90.

## **2. Commencement**

**This Direction commences on 15 April 2021.**

## **3. Revocation**

Direction no. 79, given under section 499 of the *Migration Act 1958* (the Act) and dated 28 February 2019, is revoked with effect from the date this Direction commences.

## 4. Interpretation

Note 1: A number of expressions used in this Direction are defined in section 5 of the Act, including *immigration detention, minor, non-citizen, remove, substantive visa, visa applicant, visa holder*.

Note 2: The following expressions have the same meaning as in the Act: *character test, visa*.

(1) In this Direction:

*decision-maker* means a delegate of the Minister, or a body (such as the Administrative Appeals Tribunal), making a decision under section 501 or 501CA of the Act.

**family violence** means violent, threatening or other behaviour by a person that coerces or controls a member of the person's family (the **family member**), or causes the family member to be fearful. Examples of behaviour that may constitute family violence include:

- a) an assault; or
- b) a sexual assault or other sexually abusive behaviour; or
- c) stalking; or
- d) repeated derogatory taunts; or
- e) intentionally damaging or destroying property; or
- f) intentionally causing death or injury to an animal; or
- g) unreasonably denying the family member the financial autonomy that he or she would otherwise have had; or
- h) unreasonably withholding financial support needed to meet the reasonable living expenses of the family member, or his or her child, at a time when the family member is entirely or predominantly dependent on the person for financial support; or
- i) preventing the family member from making or keeping connections with his or her family, friends or culture; or
- j) unlawfully depriving the family member, or any member of the family member's family, or his or her liberty.

**forced marriage** is taken to have occurred where a party to the marriage (the **victim**):

- (a) entered into the marriage without freely and fully consenting:
  - i. because of the use of coercion, threat or deception against the victim or another person; or
  - ii. because the victim was incapable of understanding the nature and effect of the marriage ceremony; or
- (b) was **under 16** when the marriage was entered into.

(2) In this Direction, **serious conduct** includes behaviour or conduct of concern **that does not constitute any criminal offence.**

**Examples: public act that could incite hatred towards a group of people who have a particular characteristic, such as race; intimidatory behaviour or behaviour that represents a danger to the Australian community; involvement in activities indicating contempt or disregard for the law or human rights, or a history of serious breaches of immigration law.**

## 5. Preamble

### 5.1 Objectives

(1) The objective of the Act is to regulate, in the national interest, the coming into, and presence in, Australia of non-citizens. Relevantly, a non-citizen who does not pass the character test (see Annex A for explanation) is **liable** for refusal of a visa or cancellation of their visa.

(2) Specifically, under subsection 501(1) of the Act, non-citizens may be refused a visa if they do not satisfy the decision-maker that they pass the character test. Under subsection 501(2), non-citizens may have their visa cancelled if the decision-maker reasonably suspects that they do not pass the character test, and the non-citizens do not satisfy the decision-maker that they do pass the character test. Where the discretion to refuse to grant or to cancel a visa is enlivened, the decision-maker must consider the specific circumstances of the case in deciding whether to exercise that discretion.

(3) Under subsection 501(3A) of the Act, the decision-maker must cancel a visa that has been granted to a person if the decision-maker is satisfied that the person does not pass the character test because of the operation of paragraph (6)(a) (on the basis of paragraph (7)(a), (b) or (c) or paragraph (6)(e)) and the non-citizen is serving a sentence of imprisonment, on a fulltime basis in a custodial institution, for an offence against a law of the Commonwealth, a State or a Territory. A non-citizen who has had their visa cancelled under section 501(3A) may request revocation of that decision under section 501CA of the Act. Where the decision-maker considering the request is not satisfied that the non-citizen passes the character test, the decision-maker must consider whether there is another reason to revoke the cancellation given the specific circumstances of the case.

(4) The purpose of this Direction is **to guide decision-makers in performing functions or exercising powers under section 501 and 501CA of the Act.** Under section 499(2A) of the Act, such decision-makers must comply with a direction made under section 499.

## 5.2 Principles

The principles below provide the framework within which decision-makers should approach their task of deciding whether to refuse or cancel a non-citizen's visa under section 501, or whether to revoke a mandatory cancellation under section 501CA. The factors (to the extent relevant in the particular case) that must be considered in making a decision under section 501 or section 501CA of the Act are identified in Part 2.

- (1) Australia has a sovereign right to determine whether non-citizens who are of character concern are allowed to enter and/or remain in Australia. Being able to come to or remain in Australia is a privilege Australia confers on non-citizens in the expectation that they are, and have been, law-abiding, will respect important institutions, such as Australia's law enforcement framework, and will not cause or threaten harm to individuals or the Australian community.
- (2) Non-citizens who engage or have engaged in criminal or other serious conduct should expect to be denied the privilege of coming to, or to forfeit the privilege of staying in, Australia.
- (3) The Australian community expects that the Australian Government can and should refuse entry to non-citizens, or cancel their visas, if they engaged in conduct, in Australia or elsewhere, that raises serious character concerns. This expectation of the Australian community applies regardless of whether the non-citizen poses a measureable risk of causing physical harm to the Australian community.
- (4) Australia has a low tolerance of any criminal or other serious conduct by visa applicants or those holding a limited stay visa, or by other noncitizens who have been participating in, and contributing to, the Australian community only for a short period of time. However, Australia may afford a higher level of tolerance of criminal or other serious conduct by noncitizens who have lived in the Australian community for most of their life, or from a very young age.
- (5) Decision-makers must take into account the primary and other considerations relevant to the individual case. In some circumstances, the nature of the non-citizen's conduct, or the harm that would be caused if the conduct were to be repeated, may be so serious that even strong countervailing considerations may be insufficient to justify not cancelling or refusing the visa, or revoking a mandatory cancellation. In particular, the inherent nature of certain conduct such as family violence and the other types of conduct or suspected conduct mentioned in paragraph 8.4(2) (Expectations of the Australian Community) is so serious that even strong countervailing considerations may be insufficient in some circumstances, even if the non-citizen does not pose a measureable risk of causing physical harm to the Australian community.



## **Part 2     Exercising the discretion**

### **6. Exercising discretion**

Informed by the principles in paragraph 5.2, a decision-maker must take into account the considerations identified in sections 8 and 9, where relevant to the decision.

### **7. Taking the relevant considerations into account**

- (1) In applying the considerations (both primary and other), information and evidence from independent and authoritative sources should be given appropriate weight.
- (2) Primary considerations should generally be given greater weight than the other considerations.
- (3) One or more primary considerations may outweigh other primary considerations.

### **8. Primary considerations**

In making a decision under section 501(1), 501(2) or 501CA(4), the following are primary considerations:

- (1) protection of the Australian community from criminal or other serious conduct;
- (2) whether the conduct engaged in constituted family violence;
- (3) the best interests of minor children in Australia;
- (4) expectations of the Australian community.

## 8.1 Protection of the Australian community

(1) When considering protection of the Australian community, decision-makers should keep in mind that the Government is committed to protecting the Australian community from harm as a result of criminal activity or other serious conduct by non-citizens. In this respect, decision-makers should have particular regard to the principle that entering or remaining in Australia is a privilege that Australia confers on non-citizens in the expectation that they are, and have been, law abiding, will respect important institutions, and will not cause or threaten harm to individuals or the Australian community.

(2) Decision-makers should also give consideration to:

- a) the nature and seriousness of the non-citizen's conduct to date; and
- b) the risk to the Australian community, should the non-citizen commit further offences or engage in other serious conduct.

### 8.1.1 **The nature and seriousness of the conduct**

(1) In considering the nature and seriousness of the non-citizen's criminal offending or other conduct to date, decision-makers must have regard to the following:

- a) without limiting the range of conduct that may be considered very serious, the types of crimes or conduct described below are viewed very seriously by the Australian Government and the Australian community:
  - i. violent and/or sexual crimes;
  - ii. crimes of a violent nature against women or children, regardless of the sentence imposed;
  - iii. acts of family violence, regardless of whether there is a conviction for an offence or a sentence imposed;
- b) without limiting the range of conduct that may be considered serious, the types of crimes or conduct described below are considered by the Australian Government and the Australian community to be serious:
  - i. causing a person to enter into or being party to a forced marriage (other than being a victim), regardless of whether there is a conviction for an offence or a sentence imposed;
  - ii. crimes committed against vulnerable members of the community (such as the elderly and the disabled), or government representatives or officials due to the position they hold, or in the performance of their duties;

iii. any conduct that forms the basis for a finding that a non-citizen does not pass an aspect of the character test that is dependent upon the decision-maker's opinion (for example, section 501(6)(c));

iv. where the non-citizen is in Australia, a crime committed while the non-citizen was in immigration detention, during an escape from immigration detention, or after the non-citizen escaped from immigration detention, but before the non-citizen was taken into immigration detention again, , or an offence against section 197A of the Act, which prohibits escape from immigration detention;

c) with the exception of the crimes or conduct mentioned in subparagraph (a)(ii), (a)(iii) or (b)(i) above, the sentence imposed by the courts for a crime or crimes;

d) the frequency of the non-citizen's offending and/or whether there is any trend of increasing seriousness;

e) the cumulative effect of repeated offending;

f) whether the non-citizen has provided false or misleading information to the Department, including by not disclosing prior criminal offending;

g) whether the non-citizen has re-offended since being formally warned, or since otherwise being made aware, in writing, about the consequences of further offending in terms of the non-citizen 's migration status (noting that the absence of a warning should not be considered to be in the non-citizen's favour).

### **8.1.2 The risk to the Australian community should the non-citizen commit further offences or engage in other serious conduct**

(1) In considering the need to protect the Australian community (including individuals, groups or institutions) from harm, decision-makers should have regard to the Government's view that the Australian community's tolerance for any risk of future harm becomes lower as the seriousness of the potential harm increases. Some conduct and the harm that would be caused, if it were to be repeated, is so serious that any risk that it may be repeated may be unacceptable.

(2) In assessing the risk that may be posed by the non-citizen to the Australian community, decision-makers must have regard to, cumulatively:

a) the nature of the harm to individuals or the Australian community should the non-citizen engage in further criminal or other serious conduct; and

b) the likelihood of the non-citizen engaging in further criminal or other serious conduct, taking into account:

i. information and evidence on the risk of the noncitizen re-offending; and

ii. evidence of rehabilitation achieved by the time of the decision, giving weight to time spent in the community since their most recent offence (noting that decisions should not be delayed in order for rehabilitative courses to be undertaken).

c) where consideration is being given to whether to refuse to grant a visa to the non-citizen — whether the risk of harm may be affected by the duration and purpose of the non-citizen 's intended stay, the type of visa being applied for, and whether there are strong or compassionate reasons for granting a short stay visa.

## **8.2 Family violence committed by the non-citizen**

**(1)** The Government has serious concerns about conferring on non-citizens who engage in family violence the privilege of entering or remaining in Australia. The Government's concerns in this regard are proportionate to the seriousness of the family violence engaged in by the non-citizen (see paragraph (3) below).

**(2)** This consideration is relevant in circumstances where:

- a) a non-citizen has been convicted of an offence, found guilty of an offence, or had charges proven howsoever described, that involve family violence; and/or
- b) there is information or evidence from independent and authoritative sources indicating that the non-citizen is, or has been, involved in the perpetration of family violence, and the non-citizen being considered under section 501 or section 501CA has been afforded procedural fairness.

**(3)** In considering the seriousness of the family violence engaged in by the non-citizen, the following factors must be considered where relevant:

- a) the frequency of the non-citizen's conduct and/or whether there is any trend of increasing seriousness;
- b) the cumulative effect of repeated acts of family violence;
- c) rehabilitation achieved at time of decision since the person's last known act of family violence, including:
  - i. the extent to which the person accepts responsibility for their family violence related conduct;
  - ii. the extent to which the non-citizen understands the impact of their behaviour on the abused and witness of that abuse (particularly children);
  - iii. efforts to address factors which contributed to their conduct;and
- d) Whether the non-citizen has re-offended since being formally warned, or since otherwise being made aware by a Court, law enforcement or other authority, about the consequences of further acts of family violence, noting that the absence of a warning should not be considered to be in the non-citizen's favour. This includes warnings about the non-citizen's migration status, should the non-citizen engage in further acts of family violence.

### **8.3 Best interests of minor children in Australia affected by the decision**

- (1)** Decision-makers must make a determination about whether cancellation or refusal under section 501, or non-revocation under section 501CA is, or is not, in the best interests of a child affected by the decision.
- (2)** This consideration applies only if the child is, or would be, under 18 years old at the time when the decision to refuse or cancel the visa, or to not revoke the mandatory cancellation of the visa, is expected to be made.
- (3)** If there are two or more relevant children, the best interests of each child should be given individual consideration to the extent that their interests may differ.
- (4)** In considering the best interests of the child, the following factors must be considered where relevant:
  - a) the nature and duration of the relationship between the child and the non-citizen. Less weight should generally be given where the relationship is non-parental, and/or there is no existing relationship and/or there have been long periods of absence, or limited meaningful contact (including whether an existing Court order restricts contact);
  - b) the extent to which the non-citizen is likely to play a positive parental role in the future, taking into account the length of time until the child turns 18, and including any Court orders relating to parental access and care arrangements;
  - c) the impact of the non-citizen's prior conduct, and any likely future conduct, and whether that conduct has, or will have a negative impact on the child;
  - d) the likely effect that any separation from the non-citizen would have on the child, taking into account the child's or non-citizen's ability to maintain contact in other ways;
  - e) whether there are other persons who already fulfil a parental role in relation to the child;
  - f) any known views of the child (with those views being given due weight in accordance with the age and maturity of the child);
  - g) evidence that the child has been, or is at risk of being, subject to, or exposed to, family violence perpetrated by the non-citizen, or has otherwise been abused or neglected by the non-citizen in any way, whether physically, sexually or mentally;
  - h) evidence that the child has suffered or experienced any physical or emotional trauma arising from the non-citizen's conduct.

## 8.4 Expectations of the Australian Community

(1) The Australian community expects non-citizens to obey Australian laws while in Australia. Where a non-citizen has engaged in serious conduct in breach of this expectation, or where there is an unacceptable risk that they may do so, the Australian community, as a norm, expects the Government to not allow such a non-citizen to enter or remain in Australia.

(2) In addition, visa cancellation or refusal, or non-revocation of the mandatory cancellation of a visa, may be appropriate simply because the nature of the character concerns or offences is such that the Australian community would expect that the person should not be granted or continue to hold a visa. In particular, the Australian community expects that the Australian Government can and should refuse entry to non-citizens, or cancel their visas, if they raise serious character concerns through conduct, in Australia or elsewhere, of the following kind:

- a) acts of family violence; or
- b) causing a person to enter into, or being party to (other than being a victim of), a forced marriage;
- c) commission of serious crimes against women, children or other vulnerable members of the community such as the elderly or disabled; in this context, 'serious crimes' include crimes of a violent or sexual nature, as well as other serious crimes against the elderly or other vulnerable persons in the form of fraud, extortion, financial abuse/material exploitation or neglect;
- d) commission of crimes against government representatives or officials due to the position they hold, or in the performance of their duties; or
- e) involvement or reasonably suspected involvement in human trafficking or people smuggling, or in crimes that are of serious international concern including, but not limited to, war crimes, crimes against humanity and slavery; or
- f) worker exploitation.

(3) The above expectations of the Australian community apply regardless of whether the non-citizen poses a measureable risk of causing physical harm to the Australian community.

(4) This consideration is about the expectations of the Australian community as a whole, and in this respect, decision-makers should proceed on the basis of the Government's views as articulated above, without independently assessing the community's expectations in the particular case.

## **9. Other considerations**

**(1)** In making a decision under section 501(1), 501(2) or 501CA(4), other considerations must also be taken into account, where relevant, in accordance with the following provisions. These considerations include (but are not limited to):

- a) international non-refoulement obligations;
- b) extent of impediments if removed;
- c) impact on victims;
- d) links to the Australian community, including:
  - i. strength, nature and duration of ties to Australia;
  - ii. impact on Australian business interests

### **9.1 International non-refoulement obligations**

**(1)** A non-refoulement obligation is an obligation not to forcibly return, deport or expel a person to a place where they will be at risk of a specific type of harm. Australia has non-refoulement obligations under the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees as amended by the 1967 Protocol (together called the Refugees Convention), the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (the CAT), and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and its Second Optional Protocol (the ICCPR). The Act, particularly the concept of 'protection obligations', reflects Australia's interpretation of non-refoulement obligations and the scope of the obligations that Australia is committed to implementing. Accordingly, in considering non-refoulement obligations where relevant, decision-makers should follow the tests enunciated in the Act.

**(2)** In making a decision under section 501 or 501CA, decision-makers should carefully weigh any non-refoulement obligation against the seriousness of the non-citizen's criminal offending or other serious conduct. In doing so, decision-makers should be mindful that unlawful non-citizens are, in accordance with section 198, liable to removal from Australia as soon as reasonably practicable, and in the meantime, detention under section 189, noting also that section 197C of the Act provides that for the purposes of section 198, it is irrelevant whether Australia has non-refoulement obligations in respect of an unlawful non-citizen.



(3) However, that does not mean the existence of a non-refoulement obligation precludes refusal or cancellation of a non-citizen's visa or non-revocation of the mandatory cancellation of their visa. This is because such a decision will not necessarily result in removal of the non-citizen to the country in respect of which the non-refoulement obligation exists. For example, consideration may be given to removal to another country, or the Minister may consider exercising his/her personal discretion under section 195A to grant another visa to the non-citizen, or alternatively, consider exercising his/her personal discretion under section 197AB to make a residence determination to enable the non-citizen to reside at a specified place in the community, subject to appropriate conditions. Further, following the visa refusal or cancellation decision or non-revocation decision, if the non-citizen applies for a protection visa, the non-citizen would not be liable to be removed while their valid visa application is being determined.

(4) Claims which may give rise to international non-refoulement obligations can be raised by the non-citizen in response to a notice of intention to consider cancellation or refusal of their visa under section 501 of the Act, in a request to revoke under section 501CA the mandatory cancellation of their visa, or can be clear from the facts of the case (such as where the non-citizen holds a protection visa).

(5) International non-refoulement obligations will generally not be relevant to a consideration of the refusal, cancellation, or revocation of a cancellation, of a visa that is not a protection visa, where the person concerned does not raise such obligations for consideration and the person is able to apply for a protection visa in the event of an adverse decision.

(6) It may not be possible at the section 501/section 501CA stage to consider non-refoulement issues in the same level of detail as those types of issues are considered in a protection visa application. The process for determining protection visa applications is specifically designed for consideration of nonrefoulement obligations as given effect by the Act. A decision-maker, in making a decision under section 501/section 501CA, is not required in every case to make a positive finding whether claimed harm will occur, but in an appropriate case may assume in the non-citizen's favour that claimed harm will occur and make a decision on that basis.

(7) Where a non-citizen, in responding to a notice for the purposes of section 501 or 501CA, makes claims which may give rise to international non-refoulement obligations as given effect by the Act, and that non-citizen is able to make a valid application for a protection visa, those claims will, if and when the noncitizen makes such an application, be conclusively assessed before consideration is given to any character or security concerns associated with the non-citizen. This process would ordinarily be followed even in the highly unlikely event that consideration of the protection visa application is undertaken by the Minister personally.

**(8)** If, however, the refusal, cancellation or non-revocation decision is regarding a protection visa, the person will be prevented by section 48A of the Act from making a further application for a protection visa while they are in the migration zone (unless the Minister determines that section 48A does not apply to them — see sections 48A and 48B of the Act). Further, as a result of a refusal or cancellation decision under section 501 or a non-revocation decision under section 501CA, the person will be prevented from applying for any other class of visa except a Bridging R (Class WR) visa (see section 501E of the Act and regulation 2.12AA of the Regulations). In these circumstances, decision-makers should seek an assessment of Australia's international non-refoulement obligations.

## **9.2 Extent of impediments if removed**

**(1)** Decision-makers must consider the extent of any impediments that the non-citizen may face if removed from Australia to their home country, in establishing themselves and maintaining basic living standards (in the context of what is generally available to other citizens of that country), taking into account:

- a) the non-citizen's age and health;
- b) whether there are substantial language or cultural barriers; and
- c) any social, medical and/or economic support available to them in that country.

## **9.3 Impact on victims**

**(1)** Decision-makers must consider the impact of the section 501 or 501CA decision on members of the Australian community, including victims of the non-citizen's criminal behaviour, and the family members of the victim or victims, where information in this regard is available and the non-citizen being considered for visa refusal or cancellation, or who has sought revocation of the mandatory cancellation of their visa, has been afforded procedural fairness.

## **9.4 Links to the Australian community**

Reflecting the principles at paragraph 5.2, decision-makers must have regard to paragraphs 9.4.1 to 9.4.2 below.

### **9.4.1. The strength, nature and duration of ties to Australia**

(1) Decision-makers must consider any impact of the decision on the non-citizen's immediate family members in Australia, where those family members are Australian citizens, Australian permanent residents, or people who have a right to remain in Australia indefinitely.

(2) Where consideration is being given to whether to cancel a non-citizen's visa or whether to revoke the mandatory cancellation of their visa, the decision-maker must also consider the strength, nature and duration of any other ties that the non-citizen has to the Australian community. In doing so, decision-makers must have regard to:

a) how long the non-citizen has resided in Australia, including whether the non-citizen arrived as a young child, noting that:

i. less weight should be given where the non-citizen began offending soon after arriving in Australia; and

ii. more weight should be given to time the non-citizen has spent contributing positively to the Australian community

b) the strength, duration and nature of any family or social links with Australian citizens, Australian permanent residents and/or people who have an indefinite right to remain in Australia.

### **9.4.2. Impact on Australian business interests**

(3) Decision-makers must consider any impact on Australian business interests if the non-citizen is not allowed to enter or remain in Australia, noting that an employment link would generally only be given weight where the decision under section 501 or 501CA would significantly compromise the delivery of a major project, or delivery of an important service in Australia.

# ANNEX A - Application of the character test

## Section 1 Overview of the character test

### **Discretionary** *visa cancellation or refusal*

- (1) Under section 501 of the Act, a person may be refused a visa if the non-citizen does not satisfy the decision-maker that they pass the character test. A person may have their visa cancelled if the decision-maker reasonably suspects that the person does not pass the character test, and the person does not satisfy the decision-maker that they pass the character test.
- (2) Persons who are being considered under section 501 of the Act must satisfy the decision-maker that they pass the character test set out in section 501(6) of the Act. In practice, this requires the decision-maker to determine, on the basis of all relevant information including information provided by the person, that the person does not pass the character test by reference to section 501(6) of the Act.
- (3) Section 501(6) of the Act prescribes the circumstances in which a person does not pass the character test. A person need only be found to not pass one ground, in order to not pass the character test.
- (4) In considering a person with unresolved criminal matters, decision-makers should note:
  - a) where a person already fails the character test, any other outstanding criminal matters would not generally prevent consideration of their case under section 501;
  - b) a person who does not already fail the character test, and is the subject of criminal charges in Australia, which have not yet been finalised before the relevant court, would not generally be considered under section 501 until the charges have been finally determined;
  - c) **where a person is in Australia, and they are facing charges in another country, and the charges will not be resolved in absentia,** the conduct that is the subject of those charges may be considered in the context of section 501(6)(c)(i) and/or (ii).
- (5) If the person does not pass the character test, section 501(1) of the Act enables a visa to be refused and section 501(2) of the Act enables a visa to be cancelled.

## **Mandatory** visa cancellation

(1) Under section 501(3A), a person's visa must be cancelled if:

a) the decision-maker is satisfied that the person does not pass the character test because of the operation of:

i) paragraph 501(6)(a) (substantial criminal record), on the basis of paragraph 501(7)(a), (b) or (c) (the person has been sentenced to death, imprisonment for life, or to a term of imprisonment of 12 months or more); or

ii) paragraph 501(6)(e) (sexually based offences involving a child); and

b) the person is serving a sentence of imprisonment, on a full-time basis in a custodial institution, for an offence against a law of the Commonwealth, a State or a Territory.

(2) In considering whether a person is liable for mandatory cancellation, decision-makers should note:

k) that the term 'serving a sentence of imprisonment, on a fulltime basis' does not include periodic detention or home or residential detention. However, a person who has been serving a sentence of imprisonment on a full-time basis and who is participating in a work release scheme, or is permitted home visits is liable for mandatory cancellation;

l) that mandatory cancellation is not enlivened unless and until a delegate makes a finding that they are satisfied that the requirements as set out in section 501(3A)(a) and (b) are met. Once a delegate is satisfied that these requirements are met, the delegate must cancel the person's visa.

**(3)** The purpose of mandatory cancellation of the visas of certain visa holders who are in prison is to ensure that persons who pose a risk to the safety of the Australian community remain either in criminal or immigration detention until that risk has been assessed. In this context, there are some circumstances in which it may not be appropriate for a decision-maker to consider whether a person does not pass the character test (and is therefore liable for the cancellation of his or her visa). These circumstances include where a non-citizen is serving a sentence of imprisonment but will not have a visa which is in effect at the end of that sentence. This situation may arise:

a) where a person in prison has been granted a Bridging E visa (BVE) in order to maintain their lawful status while in prison. In circumstances where the BVE will cease upon the person's release from prison, it is not recommended that mandatory cancellation consideration be commenced.

b) where a person is the holder of a criminal justice visa (CJV). CJVs are granted to non-citizens whose entry and/or continued presence in Australia is required for the purposes of the administration of criminal justice. A criterion for a CJV is that a criminal justice stay certificate (CJSC) or a criminal justice stay warrant (CJSW) about the non-citizen is in force. If the CJSC or CJSW is cancelled any CJV granted because of the CJSC or CJSW is cancelled by operation of section 164 of the Act. The only other power under which CJVs may be cancelled is on character grounds under section 501 of the Act. However, in circumstances where the CJV holder is serving a sentence of imprisonment, this is unlikely to be appropriate

## Section 2 Application of the character test

### 1. **Substantial criminal record** (section 501 (6)(a))

(1) A person does not pass the character test if the person has a substantial criminal record. The term 'substantial criminal record' is defined in section 501(7) of the Act.

(2) For the purposes of the character test, a person has a substantial criminal record if:

- a) the person has been sentenced to death; or
- b) the person has been sentenced to imprisonment for life; or
- c) **the person has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 12 months** or more; or
- d) **the person has been sentenced to 2 or more terms of imprisonment where the total of those terms is 12 months** (if a person has been sentenced to 2 or more terms of imprisonment to be served concurrently (whether in whole or in part), the whole of each term is to be counted in working out the total of the terms)\*\*; or
- e) the person has been acquitted of an offence on the grounds of **unsoundness of mind or insanity**, and as a result the person has been detained in a facility or institution; or
- f) the person has been found by a court to not be fit to plead, in relation to an offence; and as a result, the person has been detained in a facility or institution.

\*\* Example: A person is sentenced to 2 terms of 3 months imprisonment for 2 offences, to be served concurrently. For the purposes of the character test, the total of those terms is 6 months.

## **2. Immigration detention offences (section 501(6)(aa) & (ab))**

(1) A person does not pass the character test if the person has been convicted of an offence that was committed;

- a) while the person was in immigration detention; or
- b) during an escape by the person from immigration detention; or
- c) after the person escaped from immigration detention but before the person was taken into immigration detention again.

(2) A person does not pass the character test if the person has been convicted of an offence against section 197A.

## **3. Membership/Association (section 501(6)(b))**

(1) A person does not pass the character test if the Minister reasonably suspects:

- a) that the person has been or is a member of a group or organisation, or has or has had an association with a group, organisation or person; and
- b) that the group, organisation or person has been, or is, involved in criminal conduct.

(2) A suspicion is less than a certainty or a belief, but more than a speculation or idle wondering. For a suspicion to be reasonable, it should be:

- a) a suspicion that a reasonable person could hold in the particular circumstances; and
- b) based on an objective consideration of relevant material.



**(3)** A member is a person who belongs to a group or organisation. The evidence required to establish reasonable suspicion of membership of a group or organisation will depend on the circumstances of the case. Decision-makers should note that failure of this limb of the character test does not require an assessment that the person was sympathetic with, supportive of, or involved in the criminal conduct of the group or organisation. It is sufficient under this element of the test that the decision-maker has a reasonable suspicion that:

- a) the person has been, or is a member of a group or organisation; and
- b) the group or organisation has been, or is, involved in criminal conduct.

**(4)** In establishing association, the following factors are to be considered:

- a) the nature of the association;
- b) the degree and frequency of association the person had or has with the individual, group or organisation; and
- c) the duration of the association.

**(5)** Decision-makers should note that in order for a person to fail the association limb of the character test, the delegate must have a reasonable suspicion that the person was sympathetic with, supportive of, or involved in the criminal conduct of the person, group or organisation — mere knowledge of the criminality of the associate is not, in itself, sufficient to establish association. In order to not pass the character test on this ground, the association must have some negative bearing upon the person's character.

**(6)** In some cases the information concerning association will be protected from disclosure under the Act. In all cases, great care should be taken not to disclose information that might put the life or safety of informants or other people at risk.

#### **4. Involvement in certain criminal activities (section 501(6)(ba))**

**(1)** A person does not pass the character test if the Minister reasonably suspects the person has been, or is involved in, conduct constituting one or more of the following:

- a) an offence of people smuggling (as described in sections 233A to 234A of the Migration Act;
- b) an offence of trafficking in persons;
- c) **the crime of genocide, a crime against humanity, a war crime,** a crime involving torture or slavery or a crime that is otherwise of serious international concern.

**(2)** In order to fail this limb of the character test, a person is not required to have been convicted of an offence constituted by the conduct.

## **5. Not of good character on account of past and present criminal or general conduct (section 501(6)(c)(i) and (ii))**

(1) A person does not pass the character test if the person is not of good character, having regard to their past and present criminal and/or their past and present general conduct.

(2) The concepts of criminal conduct and general conduct are not mutually exclusive. Conduct can be both general and criminal at the same time or it may be either general or criminal conduct: *Wong v Minister for Minister Immigration and Multicultural Affairs* [2002] FCAFC 440 at [33].

(3) In considering whether a person is not of good character, all the relevant circumstances of the particular case are to be taken into account to obtain a complete picture of the person's character.

a) In *Godley v Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs* (2004) 83 ALD 411, Lee J said at [34] 'the words "of good character" mean enduring moral qualities reflected in soundness and reliability in moral judgement in the performance of day to day activities and in dealing with fellow citizens. It is not simply a matter of repute, fame or standing in the community but of continuing performance according to moral principle. A person of ill repute by reason of past criminal conduct may nonetheless, on objective examination at a later stage in life, be shown to be a person reformed and now of good character.'

(4) In order to fail this limb of the character test, a person need not necessarily have a recent criminal conviction, or have been involved in recent general conduct which would indicate that they are not of 'good character'. However, the conduct in question must be sufficient to indicate a lack of enduring moral quality that outweighs any consideration of more recent good behaviour.

a) In *Godley*, Lee J went on to say 'For a finding to be made under section 501(6)(c) that a person is not of good character it is necessary that the nature of the conduct said to be criminal, be examined and assessed as to its degree of moral culpability or turpitude. Furthermore, there must be examination of past and present criminal conduct sufficient to establish that a person at the time of decision is not then of good character. The point at which recent criminal conduct, (as the term 'present criminal conduct' is to be understood), becomes past criminal conduct must be a matter of judgement. If there is no recent criminal conduct that circumstances will point to the need for the Minister to give due weight to that fact before concluding that a visa applicant is not of good character'.

'Before past and present general conduct may be taken to reveal indicia that a visa applicant is not of good character continuing conduct must be demonstrated that shows a lack of enduring moral quality. Although in some circumstances isolated elements of conduct may be significant and display lack of moral worth they will be rare, and as with consideration of criminal conduct there must be due regard given to recent good conduct.

## **5.1 Past and present criminal conduct**

**(1)** In considering whether a person is not of good character on the basis of past or present criminal conduct, the following factors are to be considered:

- a) the nature and severity of the criminal conduct;
- b) the frequency of the person's offending and whether there is any trend of increasing seriousness;
- c) the cumulative effect of repeated offending;
- d) any circumstances surrounding the criminal conduct which may explain the conduct such as may be evident from judges' comments, parole reports and similar authoritative documents; and
- e) the conduct of the person since their most recent offence, including:
  - i. the length of time since the person last engaged in criminal conduct;
  - ii. any evidence of recidivism or continuing association with criminals;
  - iii. any pattern of similar criminal conduct;
  - iv. any pattern of continued or blatant disregard or contempt for the law; and
  - v. any conduct which may indicate character reform.

## 5.2 Past and present general conduct

(1) The past and present general conduct provision allows a broader view of a person's character where convictions may not have been recorded or where the person's conduct may not have constituted a criminal offence.

a) in considering whether the person is not of good character, the relevant circumstances of the particular case are to be taken into account, including evidence of rehabilitation and any relevant periods of good conduct.

(2) The following factors may also be considered in determining whether a person is not of good character:

a) whether the person has been involved in activities indicating contempt or disregard for the law or for human rights. This includes, but is not limited to:

i. involvement in activities such as terrorist activity, activities in relation to trafficking or possession of trafficable quantities of proscribed substances, political extremism, extortion, fraud; or

ii. a history of serious breaches of immigration law, breach of visa conditions or visa overstay in Australia or another country; or

iii. involvement in war crimes or crimes against humanity;

b) whether the person has been removed or deported from Australia or another country and the circumstances that led to the removal/deportation; or

c) whether the person has been:

i. dishonourably discharged; or

ii. discharged prematurely;

from the armed forces of another country as the result of disciplinary action in circumstances, or because of conduct that, in Australia would be regarded as serious.

(3) Where a person is in Australia and charges have been brought against that person in a jurisdiction other than an Australian jurisdiction, and those charges will not be resolved *in absentia*, the conduct that is the subject of those charges may be considered in the context of its impact on the person's overall character.

## **6. Risk in regards to future conduct (section 501(6)(d))**

(1) A person does not pass the character test if, in the event that the person were allowed to enter or remain in Australia, there is a risk that the person would engage in any of the conduct specified in section 501(6)(d) of the Act. The types of conduct specified are discussed below.

(2) The grounds are enlivened if there is evidence suggesting that there is more than a minimal or remote chance that the person, if allowed to enter or to remain in Australia, would engage in conduct specified in section 501(6)(d) of the Act.

(3) It is not sufficient to find that the person has engaged in conduct specified in paragraph 501(6)(d) of the Act in the past. There must be a risk that the person would engage in the future in the specified conduct set out in section 501(6)(d) of the Act.

### **6.1 Risk of engaging in criminal conduct in Australia (section 501(6)(d)(i))**

(1) A person does not pass the character test if, in the event that the person were allowed to enter or remain in Australia, there is a risk that the person will engage in criminal conduct in Australia.

(2) The reference to criminal conduct must be read as requiring that there is a risk of the person engaging in conduct for which a criminal conviction could be recorded.

### **6.2 Risk of harassing, molesting, intimidating or stalking another person in Australia (section 501(6)(d)(ii))**

(1) A person will not pass the character test if, in the event that the person were allowed to enter or remain in Australia, there is a risk that the person will harass, molest, intimidate or stalk another person in Australia.

(2) 'Harassment', 'molestation', 'intimidation' and 'stalking' are to be given their ordinary meaning. Section 501(11) of the Act clarifies the scope of conduct amounting to harassment or molestation. Conduct and behaviours that may fall under this category include, but are not limited to, the following:

a) conduct that could be construed as harassment or intimidation (whether or not it breaches the terms of an Apprehended or Domestic Violence (or similar) Order);

b) conduct that potentially places children in danger, such as unwelcome and/or inappropriate approaches, including, but not limited to, approaches made through electronic media; or

c) conduct that would reasonably cause an individual to be severely apprehensive, fearful, alarmed or distressed regarding the person's behaviour or alleged behaviour towards the individual, any other individual, or in relation to their property or that of any other individual.

### **6.3 Risk of vilifying a segment of the community, of inciting discord or of representing a danger through involvement in disruptive and/or violent activities (section 501(6)(d)(iii), (iv) and (v))**

**(1)** In deciding whether a person does not pass the character test under section 501(6)(d)(iii), (iv) or (v) of the Act, factors to be considered include, but are not limited to, evidence that the person:

a) would hold or advocate extremist views such as a belief in the use of violence as a legitimate means of political expression;

b) would vilify a part of the community;

c) has a record of encouraging disregard for law and order;  
Note: For example, in the course of addressing public rallies.

d) has engaged or threatens to engage in conduct likely to be incompatible with the smooth operation of a multicultural society;

Note: For example, advocating that particular ethnic groups should adopt political, social or religious values well outside those generally acceptable in Australian society, and which, if adopted or practised, might lead to discord within those groups or between those groups and other segments of Australian society.

e) participates in, or is active in promotion of, politically motivated violence or criminal violence and/or is likely to propagate or encourage such action in Australia;

f) is likely to provoke civil unrest in Australia because of the conjunction of the person's intended activities and proposed timing of their presence in Australia with those of another individual, group or organisation holding opposing views.

**(2)** The operation of section 501(6)(d)(iii), (iv) and (v) of the Act must be balanced against Australia's well established tradition of free expression. The grounds in these sub-paragraphs are not intended to provide a charter for denying entry or continued stay to persons merely because they hold and are likely to express unpopular opinions. However, where these opinions may attract strong expressions of disagreement and condemnation from the Australian community, the current views of the community will be a consideration in terms of assessing the extent to which particular activities or opinions are likely to cause discord or unrest.

## **7. Sexually based offences involving a child (section 501(6)(e))**

(1) A person will not pass the character test if a court in Australia or a foreign country has convicted them of one or more sexually based offences involving a child or found them guilty of such an offence, or found a charge proven against them, even if the person was discharged without conviction.

(2) Sexually based offences involving a child include, but are not limited to offences such as:

- a) child sexual abuse;
- b) indecent dealings with a child;
- c) possession or distribution of child pornography;
- d) internet grooming; and
- e) other non-contact carriage service offences.

(3) This provision applies irrespective of the level of penalty or orders made in relation to the offence.

## **8. Crimes under International Humanitarian Law (section 501(6)(f))**

(1) A person will not pass the character test if the person has, in Australia or a foreign country, been charged with or indicted for one or more of the following:

- a) the crime of genocide;
- b) a crime against humanity;
- c) a war crime;
- d) a crime involving torture or slavery;
- e) a crime that is otherwise of serious international concern.



## **9. National security risk (section 501(6)(g))**

(1) A person will not pass the character test if the person has been assessed by the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation (ASIO) to be directly or indirectly a risk to security (within the meaning of section 4 of the *Australian Security Intelligence Organisation Act 1979*)

## **10. Certain Interpol notices (section 501(6)(h))**

(1) A person will not pass the character test if an Interpol notice in relation to the person is in force, and it is reasonable to infer from that notice that the person would present a risk to the Australian community or a segment of that community.